

Proposal Title :	Parkwood (West B	elconnen) Pla	anning Proposal			
Proposal Summ		-	local environmental plan If the ACT and the NSW b	to rezone land for urban order within Yass Valley Shire.		
5	The new release an as 'Parkwood'.	rea will be in o	conjunction with a release	e area in the ACT collectively known		
1		The Parkwood release area covering both the ACT and NSW will create approximately 11,5 dwellings with urban services for the area to be provided primarily by the ACT Governmen The planning proposal for the Parkwood release area in NSW will create approximately 5,0 dwellings to accommodate a population of 13,000 people.				
	Approximately 394	hectares is p		approximately 600 hectares. rban purposes and 206 hectares		
PP Number :	PP_2015_YASSV_0	001_00	Dop File No :	15/01667		
oposal Details						
Date Planning Proposal Receiv	27-Jan-2015		LGA covered :	Yass Valley		
Region :	Southern		RPA :	Yass Valley Council		
State Electorate	BURRINJUCK		Section of the Act :	55 - Planning Proposal		
LEP Type :	Precinct					
ocation Detail	S					
Street :						
Suburb :		City :		Postcode		
Land Parcel :	Lots 1,2,3 and 7 DP 7710	051				
Street :						
Suburb :		City :		Postcode :		
Land Parcel :	Lot 61 and 62 DP 801234	L .				
Street :	÷					
Suburb :		City :		Postcode :		
Land Parcel :	Lot 4 and 5 DP 771051					

## **DoP Planning Officer Contact Details**

Contact Name :	Martin Brown
Contact Number :	0262297913
Contact Email :	martin.brown@planning.nsw.gov.au

### **RPA Contact Details**

Contact Name :	Liz Makin
Contact Number :	0262261477
Contact Email :	liz.makin@yass.nsw.gov.au

## DoP Project Manager Contact Details

Contact Name :

Contact Number :

Contact Email :

#### Land Release Data

Growth Centre :	N/A	Release Area Name :	N/A	
Regional / Sub Regional Strategy	Sydney-Canberra Corridor Regional Strategy	Consistent with Strategy :	N/A	
MDP Number :		Date of Release :		
Area of Release (Ha) :	400.00	Type of Release (eg Residential / Employment land) :	Residential	
No. of Lots :	0	No. of Dwellings (where relevant) :	4,500	
Gross Floor Area	0	No of Jobs Created :	1,000	
The NSW Government Lobbyists Code of Conduct has been complied with :	Yes			
If No, comment :				
Have there been meetings or communications with registered lobbyists? : If Yes, comment :	Νο			
. 04				
Supporting notes Internal Supporting Notes :	This is a significant urban land release covering land in both the Australian Capital Territory and New South Wales. It is anticipated future services and infrastructure for the development will be wholly provided by the ACT Government. The proposal is not identified in the Sydney Canberra Corridor Regional Strategy, but is accompanied by a detailed assessment against the Sustainability Criteria contained in the Strategy.			
	It is understood the ACT Government and the developer have signed a Joint Venture Agreement to develop the ACT component of the land and that the ACT will provide local and State/Territory services to the NSW component. The ACT Government supports the			

6	development proceeding through the rezoning and investigation stage due to its ability to meet housing demand arising from the ACT.
	Arrangements for cross border service provision and cost recoupment between the respective governments will need to be resolved prior to any development occurring.
External Supporting Notes :	This is a significant urban land release covering land in both the Australian Capital Territory and New South Wales. It is anticipated future services and infrastructure for the development will be wholly provided by the ACT Government.
	The proposal is not identified in the Sydney Canberra Corridor Regional Strategy, but is accompanied by a detailed assessment against the Sustainability Criteria contained in the Strategy.
	It is understood the ACT Government and the developer have signed a Joint Venture Agreement to develop the ACT component of the land and that the ACT will provide local and State/Territory services to the NSW component. The ACT Government supports the development proceeding through the rezoning and investigation stage due to its ability to meet housing demand arising from the ACT.
	Arrangements for cross border service provision and cost recoupment between the respective governments will need to be resolved prior to any development occurring.

#### Statement of the objectives - s55(2)(a)

Is a statement of the objectives provided? Yes

Comment :

The statement of Objectives clearly states that the planning proposal is to create a new urban release area (Parkwood) on the ACT/NSW border within the Yass LGA.

#### Explanation of provisions provided - s55(2)(b)

Is an explanation of provisions provided? Yes

Comment :

The Planning Proposal clearly states that a new stand alone LEP will be applied to the proposed Parkwood urban release area and replace the provisions of the Yass LEP 2013.

The new LEP will comprise a Land Zoning Map and Lot Size Map with an E3 Environmental Management Zone (80 ha MLS) and an R1 General Residential Zone (250 m2 MLS). The new LEP will also include new land use tables for the E3 Environmental Management Zone and an R1 General Residential Zone specifically applied to the Parkwood site.

The LEP will also identify the R1 General Residential Zone as an Urban Release Area on an Urban Release Area Map. Provisions within the LEP will require that development cannot proceed in the urban release area until issues associated with the provision of public infrastructure have been addressed and that a Development Control Plan has been prepared for the site.

The LEP will also include map overlays and provisions for natural resource management, e.g. groundwater, biodiversity and riparian land.

The stand alone LEP for Parkwood will also comprise standard provisions consistent with a Standard Instrument LEP.

#### Justification - s55 (2)(c)

a) Has Council's strategy been agreed to by the Director General?  $\ensuremath{\text{No}}$ 

b) S.117 directions identified by RPA :

\* May need the Director General's agreement

1.2 Rural Zones
 1.5 Rural Lands
 2.1 Environment Protection Zones
 2.3 Heritage Conservation

		3.1 Residential Zones 3.4 Integrating Land Use and Transport 4.3 Flood Prone Land	
		4.4 Planning for Bushfire Protection 5.1 Implementation of Regional Strategies 6.1 Approval and Referral Requirements 6.2 Reserving Land for Public Purposes 6.3 Site Specific Provisions	
Is the Director Genera	al's agreement required	? Yes	
c) Consistent with Standa	ard Instrument (LEPs) C	Order 2006 : <b>Yes</b>	
/ I) Which SEPPs have th		SEPP No 55—Remediation of Land SEPP No 60—Exempt and Complying Development SEPP No 70—Affordable Housing (Revised Schemes) SEPP (Exempt and Complying Development Codes) 2008 SEPP (Infrastructure) 2007 SEPP (Rural Lands) 2008	
<ul> <li>e) List any other natters that need to be considered :</li> </ul>		is instance seeks to provide up to 4,500 dwellings within NSW to be the ACT Government.	
	providers will be re	ion between the ACT Government, the NSW Government and service equired early in the process to identify and address the financial and ssociated with the proposal.	
Have inconsistencies wit	h items a), b) and d) be	ing adequately justified? Unknown	
f No, explain :	To be determined.		
	perspective, many	al is generally consistent with relevant policies from a planning of the issues crucial to the proposal proceeding are more broadly e of cross border coordination and service provision.	
	It is proposed all s	services will be provided by the ACT Government.	
	providers will nee	reen the ACT Government, the NSW Government and service d to be required early in the process to identify and address the icing issues associated with the proposal.	
	plans in place that largely been deter Sydney-Canberra assessment of the has been undertal Position Paper - K	s117 directions is difficult to determine. Given there are no strategic t justify the planning proposal at this time, its strategic merit has mined against the sustainability criteria set out in the Corridor Regional Strategy. A detailed and comprehensive e proposal against the sustainability criteria contained in the Strategy ken by the proponent and accompanies the planning proposal (NSW finight Frank Town Planning). It is considered that the assessment is ent to enable the proposal to proceed to further investigation and	
	of the proposal ag	Consultation with relevant agencies, both NSW and ACT, will further inform the merits of the proposal against the sustainability criteria. This can be done post consultation with agencies and prior to the plan being placed on public exhibition.	
lapping Provided - s	s55(2)(d)		
s mapping provided? Ye	es.		
Comment :	Indicative at this ti	me but suitable for illustrating the intent of the proposal. More illustrating proposed changes to the Yass LEP will be required for	

Community consult	tation - s55(2)(e)
Has community consult	tation been proposed? Yes
Comment :	Significant consultation with both governments and the community has already occurred.
	Council has proposed consultation with a number of agencies. However Council has not included the respective Treasuries (NSW and ACT) and other agencies that should be consulted (such as NSW Premiers and Cabinet).
Additional Director	General's requirements
Are there any additiona	al Director General's requirements? <b>No</b>
If Yes, reasons :	
Overall adequacy of	f the proposal
Does the proposal mee	et the adequacy criteria? <b>Yes</b>
If No, comment :	The studies that have been prepared are extensive and address most of the relevant
	physical and environmental constraints for the site. As noted, a detailed and comprehensive assessment of the proposal against the sustainability criteria contained in the Strategy has been undertaken by the proponent and accompanies the planning proposal. It is considered that this assessment is more than sufficient to enable the proposal to proceed to further investigation and consultation.
	Again, consultation between the ACT Government, the NSW Government and service providers will need to be required early in the process to identify and address the financial and servicing issues associated with the proposal.
Proposal Assessment	
Principal LEP:	
Due Date : July 2013	
Comments in relation to Principal LEP :	Comprehensive LEP made in July 2013.
Assessment Criteria	a
Need for planning proposal :	The planning proposal has merit in the context of it being a sustainable cross border urban settlement that would provide significant land supply in the Regional market into the future.
	Masterplanning for the overall NSW/ACT release area has been undertaken to ensure a whole of development approach irrespective of jurisdictional boundaries.
Consistency with strategic planning framework :	A detailed and comprehensive assessment of the proposal against the sustainability criteria contained in the Sydney Canberra Corridor Regional Strategy has been undertaken by the proponent and accompanies the planning proposal (NSW Position Paper - Knight Frank Town Planning).
	It is considered that the assessment is more than sufficient to enable the proposal to proceed to further investigation and consultation.
	Consultation with relevant agencies, both NSW and ACT, will further inform the merits of the proposal against the sustainability criteria. This can be done post consultation with agencies and prior to the plan being placed on public exhibition.

Environmental social economic impacts :

Proposal primarily focused on previously disturbed and cleared lands. Capable for being fully serviced. Offers access to high level ACT services and facilities. Will provide numerous jobs during construction phase. Consolidated urban settlement with potential for strong community cohesion and focus.

Need to resolve details regarding cross border servicing and financial recoupment.

#### **Assessment Process**

Proposal type 🗄	Precinct	Community Consultation Period :	40 Days
Timeframe to make LEP :	24 months	Delegation :	DDG
Public Authority Consultation - 56(2) (d) :	Ambulance Service of NSW Department of Attorney General Murrumbidgee Catchment Mana Department of Family and Com Department of Family and Com Department of Education and Ca Office of Environment and Herit Department of Premier and Cab Transport for NSW Office of Environment and Herit Fire and Rescue NSW Department of Health NSW Police Force NSW Rural Fire Service NSW Treasury Transport for NSW - Roads and NSW Electoral Commission Other	igement Authority nunity Services nunity Services ommunities age inet age - NSW National Parks an	d Wildlife Service
Is Public Hearing by the	PAC required? No		
(2)(a) Should the matter	proceed ? Yes		
If no, provide reasons :	The planning proposal should p release area in the ACT. The de Canberra market.		
	There has been extensive cross being submitted the Council. A complexities of the proposed se Although a good understanding not been officially agreed by eit cross border report and forum h mechanism and forum for the o Given the significant cross bord the lack of consistency with any	CT, NSW and Yass Valley aut ervice provision and cross bo g exists of likely infrastructure her jurisdiction. As a consec- nas been recommended to pr ngoing discussion of these is der planning issues raised by	thorities are all aware of the order infrastructure funding. e and service needs, it has quence, the proposal for a rovide an appropriate ssues.
	not be authorised to use its pla		
	RECOMMENDATION: That the E Minster of Planning, approve th requiring a report and forum tha Parkwood urban release area.	e Gateway Determination sul	oject to a condition
Resubmission - s56(2)(b	) : <b>No</b>		
If Yes, reasons :	The major issue with the planni address the arrangements for th		_

urban release area (e.g. water, sewerage, roads, garbage collection, dog control, child care facilities, libraries, public open space, street lighting, policing, fire and rescue, development assessment, etc).

The planning proposal has outlined options for the provision of government services either by the ACT Government, NSW Government and Yass Valley Council. The issue of the ongoing funding and provision of urban services is yet to be fully discussed and addressed.

The Planning Proposal will therefore require extensive consultation with NSW and ACT agencies and prior to any exhibition of the proposal. Amendment and alteration of the planning proposal and supporting documentation, that adequately addresses the funding and provision of services, may be required before the planning proposal is suitable for community consultation.

RECOMMENDATION: The planning proposal is to be submitted with the General Manager, Southern Region, Department of Planning and Environment for endorsement following the preparation of the necessary supporting studies on infrastructure. The revised planning proposal must include a report that addresses the ongoing provision and funding of government services for the Parkwood release area. The report must be based on consultation with relevant State and local government agencies.

Identify any additional studies, if required. :

Other - provide details below If Other, provide reasons :

The planning proposal includes a number of background studies on land capability and suitability.

However further information is required to address the ongoing funding and management of government services fore the Parkwood release area.

RECOMMENDATION: A revised planning proposal is to be prepared to include a report that addresses the ongoing provision and funding of government services for the Parkwood release area. The report must be based on consultation with relevant State and local government agencies.

Identify any internal consultations, if required :

No internal consultation required

Is the provision and funding of state infrastructure relevant to this plan? Yes

If Yes, reasons : Further information is required to be submitted with the planning proposal to address the ongoing funding and management of government services for the Parkwood release area.

#### Documents

Document File Name	DocumentType Name	Is Public
FINAL Planning Proposal combined.pdf	Proposal	Yes
1. Vision Statement - Tony Adams Report.pdf	Study	Yes
2. sep-pol-1YVC.pdf	Study	Yes
3. West Belconnen urban release Position Paper.pdf	Study	Yes
4. Edge - Agricultural Lands Review June 2014.pdf	Study	Yes
5. Visual Impact Assessment 140602 LR.pdf	Study	Yes
7. West Belc Conservation Area-TRC Tourism Final	Study	Yes
Report-15062014.pdf		
8. West Belconnen, NSW Blocks Rev1.pdf	Study	Yes
Flora and Fauna.Jan.09.pdf	Study	Yes
Flora and Fauna.Sep.2013.pdf	Study	Yes
Targeted Bird Survey.pdf	Study	Yes
10. Biosis Archaelogical Report (2).pdf	Study	Yes
11. AECOM Environmentall.pdf	Study	Yes
13. Cagney - Transport- Final Report June 2014 - WB ISTP.pdf	Study	Yes

Elton 2014c)Comprehensive Community Plan Part 1	Study	Yes
Background Report 17-06-14-Elton-140617-v1.pdf		
(Elton 2014d) Comprehensive Community Plan Part 2	Study	Yes
soc sustainability strat 17-06-14-Elton-140617-v1.pdf		
(Elton 2014e) Comprehensive Community Plan Part 3	Study	Yes
Soc infrastructure reqs 17-06-2014-Elton-140617-v1.pdf		
(Elton 2014f)Comprehensive Community Plan Part 4	Study	Yes
Housing 20-06-14-Elton-140529-v1.pdf		
15. ACTEW.pdf	Study	Yes
16. Eltons Environmental Trust-final.pdf	Study	Yes
17. Ecological - Bushfire.pdf	Study	Yes
18 West Belconnen, NSW Blocks Rev1.pdf	Study	Yes
20. CEE-Parkwood Egg Farm Buffer-March 2014.pdf	Study	Yes
21 -Lindsay Taylor paper.pdf	Study	Yes
22. Browns-Sewer and Water concept Plan.pdf	Study	Yes
23. OSBORNE & WONG Final Report PTWL West	Study	Yes
Belconnen - Ginninderra Creek 10 May 2013.pdf		
24. EMA 20140217 WBECHA Area NSW Block FD lss	Study	Yes
3.pdf		
25. WBECHA NSW Neighbours FD iss 3.pdf	Study	Yes
26.AECOM Technical Traffic Report.pdf	Study	Yes

#### Planning Team Recommendation

Preparation of the planning proposal supported at this stage : Recommended with Conditions

S.117 directions: 1.2 Rural Zones 1.5 Rural Lands 2.1 Environment Protection Zones 2.3 Heritage Conservation 3.1 Residential Zones 3.4 Integrating Land Use and Transport 4.3 Flood Prone Land 4.4 Planning for Bushfire Protection 5.1 Implementation of Regional Strategies 6.1 Approval and Referral Requirements 6.2 Reserving Land for Public Purposes **6.3 Site Specific Provisions** The Executive Director Regions, as delegate of the Minister for Planning, determine under Additional Information section 56(2) of the EP&A Act that the draft Local Environmental Plan should proceed subject to the following conditions: 1. The planning proposal is to be revised prior to community consultation to include a Cross Border Government Servicing Report that addresses the intended framework for government funding and service delivery to the land by the ACT Government, NSW Government and Yass Valley Council. 20.3 2. Prior to any community consultation on the draft plan, a forum is be held with all relevant government service providers to determine if the servicing framework in the Cross Border Government Servicing Report is practicable. 3. A revised planning proposal, that includes the Cross Border Government Servicing Report, is to be submitted to the General Manager, Southern Region, for endorsement prior to community consultation. 4. The Council is to prepare draft LEP Maps that are consistent with the latest version of the "Standard technical requirements for LEP maps" and the draft maps are to be placed on public exhibition as part of community consultation. 5. Community consultation is required under sections 56(2)(c) and 57 of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979 ("EP&A Act") as follows:

	<ul> <li>(a) the planning proposal must be made publicly available for a minimum of a days; and (b) the relevant planning authority must comply with the notice requirements for public exhibition of planning proposals and the specifications for material that must be made publicly available along with planning proposals as identified in section 5.5.2 of A Guide to Preparing LEPs (Department of Planning &amp; Infrastructure 2013).</li> <li>6. Consultation is required with the following public authorities under section 56(2)(d) of the EP&amp;A Act and/or to comply with the requirements of relevant S117 Directions:</li> <li>The ACT Government, including ACT Chief Ministers Department, ACT Treasury and ACT Environment and Planning Directorate.</li> <li>Ambulance Service of NSW</li> <li>Department of Attorney General and Justice</li> <li>Murrumbidgee Catchment Management Authority</li> <li>Department of Family and Community Services</li> <li>Department of Furnier and Cabinet</li> <li>Transport for NSW</li> <li>Office of Environment and Heritage</li> <li>Department of Health</li> <li>NSW Police Force</li> <li>NSW Rural Fire Service</li> <li>NSW Rural Fire Service</li> <li>NSW Treasury</li> <li>Transport for NSW - Roads and Maritime Services</li> </ul>	L.
Supporting Reasons :	<ul> <li>Each public authority is to be provided with a copy of the planning proposal and any relevant supporting material, and given at least 40 days to comment on the proposal.</li> <li>7. A public hearing is not required to be held into the matter by any person or body under section 56(2)(e) of the EP&amp;A Act. This does not discharge Council from any obligation it may otherwise have to conduct a public hearing (for example, in response to a submission or if reclassifying land).</li> <li>8. The timeframe for completing the LEP is to be 24 months from the week following the date of the Gateway determination.</li> <li>A revised planning proposal is required to address government funding and servicing</li> </ul>	
	arrangements for the Parkwood release area on ACT/NSW border.	
Signature:	Brett Whitworth a Name 2015	
Printed Name:	General Manager Date: <u>2 North 2015</u>	

1

Eren Windwarn Leneral Manager Len frier Ri GiON